

## RIVERSIDE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES

May 11, 2018

12:00-2:00 PM, DL 409

**Present:** Steve Brewster, Jasminka Knecht, Denise Kruiuzenga-Muro, Kevin Maroufkhani, Wendy McEwen, Mike Medina, Amita Naganand, Rosemarie Sarkis, Jude Whitton

**Not Present:** Sharon Alexander, Kelly Brautigam, Debbie Cazares, Shelly Dawson, Kathy Brooks, Sofia Carreras, Jim Elton, Scott Hernandez, Rebecca Kessler, Melissa Matuszak, Scott McLeod, William Phelps, Nicolas Robinson, Kelsie Shockley, Rhonda Taube, Rana Tayyar, Jarrod Williamson

- I. Approval of the Agenda (no quorum)
- II. Approval of the Minutes (April 20, 2018 – no quorum)
- III. Reports
  - EPOC
    - Accreditation
    - Facilities – Facilities Master Plan was presented. Long-term plan.
    - Guided Pathways Faculty coordinator(s) position(s) is going to be recruited – exactly what this looks like is still TBD. Faculty Advisor Liaisons will also continue working with the pathways
  - IE-LC
    - Accreditation
  - Program Review
    - Program Review – update to the process and timeline including incorporating HR, Facilities, and Tech Committees into the review process
  - Accreditation
    - Assessment is on-going and the results are helping with the accreditation activities
- IV. Old Business
  - PLO Assessment
    - Check on status of curriculum mapping in ADTs
      1. Wendy will create a report which can be used to see what needs to be mapped

2. Introduced / Developed / Mastered is up to each discipline and can be interpreted by those curriculum experts.
- Status of communication to disciplines
    1. Assessment coordinators will send targeted reminders about PLO assessment to make sure every discipline is aware of the ADT scheduling
    2. Communication is important – both ways
  - GE Assessment
    - Review and approve rubric (no quorum)
    - Start discussion on how to use rubric and look for gaps
    - Develop plan to collect artifacts for evaluation
    - Fall Flex—All hands on deck
    - See rubric for additional notes – team reviewed and used rubric, identified courses for artifact collection. Revised rubric and plan will be distributed next week after a final review by the Assessment Coordinators

#### V. New Business

- There was a discussion about assessment during the Academic Senate meeting. Greg Burchett was invited to attend this meeting but was unable to.
- Based on feedback the RAC members received, Professor Burchett expressed concern about the quality of assessment and how assessment activities are being communicated.
- It is possible that clarification is needed for the role of the Assessment Committee – and the role of assessment – versus the role of the Program Review and Plan process regarding reviewing and discussing student success in different kinds of courses such as those being taught online. Assessment looks at curriculum in a summative form and program review at the discipline level should incorporate the review of student success and what that means for enrollment management and course offerings. Assessment results should also inform the discipline's program review and plan discussion.
- The Assessment Coordinators are going to try and schedule a meeting with Professor Burchett to clarify the next steps and address the concerns he expressed at the Senate.

#### VI. Other